



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/503,421	02/14/2000	Wilhelm Schwaeble	3523 P 004 6579		
7	7590 03/11/2002				
Monique A Morneault Esq Wallenstein & Wagner Ltd 311 South Wacker Drive			EXAMINER		
			ROMEO, DAVID S		
53rd Floor Chicago, IL 6	50606-6604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cinicago, 15			1647	13	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1		A	
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/503,421		SCHWAEBLE	
Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit	
	David S Romeo		1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howen within the statutory min will apply and will expire to a cause the application to	ever, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F	<u>-ebruary 2001</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-fi	nal.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims				-
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	1.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are withdrawn	from consideratio	n.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) 10-21 are subject to restriction and/or	election requiren	nent.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	•		ed by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ion.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	arriirier.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			(4) (6)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		t d		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			. 11	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		• •		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	-	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro				
Attachment(s)	· -	- -		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/503,421

Art Unit: 1647

10

15

20

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 12/12/2001 (Paper No. 12) has been entered. Claims 1-21 are pending. Claims 1-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

5 Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

In the last Office action mailed 06/12/01 (Paper No. 9) at page 2, lines 2-3, it was indicated that Applicant's elected without traverse group IV, claim 10, and the species SEQ ID NO: 1 in Paper No. 8. However, the last Office action should have acknowledged that Applicant's elected without traverse group III, claim 10, and the species SEQ ID NO: 1 in Paper No. 8 because this is in fact the election was made by Applicants in Paper No. 8 at page 2. It is also clear from the text of the last Office action mailed 06/12/01 (Paper No. 9) that group III was examined.

Claims 10-21 are being examined to the extent that they are drawn to or encompass a method of treatment using a cC1qR binding domain.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: each of the conditions in claims 14 and 18.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 10-21 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,421

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

25

30

-Applicant-is-advised-that-a-reply-to-this-requirement-must-include-an-identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

ANY INQUIRY CONCERNING THIS COMMUNICATION OR EARLIER COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE EXAMINER SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO DAVID S. ROMEO WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 305-4050. THE EXAMINER CAN NORMALLY BE REACHED ON MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY FROM 7:30 A.M. TO 4:00 P.M.

IF ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, GARY KUNZ, CAN BE REACHED ON (703) 308-4623.

IF SUBMITTING OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE BY FAX, APPLICANTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE FOLLOWING TC 1600 BEFORE AND AFTER FINAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS:

BEFORE FINAL (703) 872-9306 AFTER FINAL

(703) 872-9307

IN ADDITION TO THE OFFICIAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS ABOVE, THE TC 1600 FAX CENTER HAS THE FOLLOWING OFFICIAL FAX

NUMBERS: (703) 305-3592, (703) 308-4242 AND (703) 305-3014. CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO ADVISED TO USE CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE PROCEDURES WHEN SUBMITTING A REPLY TO A NON-FINAL OR FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY FACSIMILE (SEE 37 CFR 1.6 AND 1.8).

FAXED DRAFT OR INFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE EXAMINER AT (703) 308-0294.

ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

> DAVID ROMEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1647

35

DSR March 8, 2002